Chemical characteristics of pasteurised goat milk and goat milk kefir prepared using different amount of indonesian kefir grains and incubation times

^{1*}Purnomo, H. and ²Muslimin, L. D.

¹Department of Animal Food Technology, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Brawijaya University, Malang, 65145, East Jawa, Indonesia ²Department of Agricultural Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, South-East Sulawesi University, Kendari, 93117, South-East Sulawesi, Indonesia

Abstract: The chemical characteristics of pasteurised goat milk and goat milk kefir prepared using different amount of Indonesian kefir grains and incubation times had been studied. Kefir samples were prepared using amount of kefir grains 3, 5 and 7% (v/v) with incubation times of 8, 21 and 24 hours, respectively, and controls were made for without kefir grains and before incubation. The results showed that pasteurised goat milk samples contain fat: 3.43%, protein 4.72%, lactose 4.30%, titratable acidity number expressed as lactic acid content 0.19% and pH value of 6.66. While the best chemical characteristics (pH 4.37; etanol content 0.91%; titratable acidity number 0.76%; and lactose content: 4.23%) was obtained from goat milk kefir prepared with 7% (w/v) kefir grains and incubation time of 24 hours.

Keywords: Goat milk, kefir grain, incubation time, chemical characteristics

Introduction

Kefir are commonly prepared by fermentation of milk with kefir grains which contain lactic acid bacteria mainly Lactobacilli, Lactococci and Leuconostocs species, acetic bacteria and yeast mixture bound together with milk casein and complex sugars where polysaccharide are as its matrix. (Koroleva, 1991; Angulo et al., 1993). The existance yeast in kefir fermentation is to produce ethanol and carbondioxide, and the grains usually contain either lactose and non-lactose fermenting veast (Koroleva, 1988; Angulo et al., 1993). Simova (2002) and Yüksedag et al. (2004) found that lactose fermenting yeast were *Kluyveromyces lactis* and Kluyveromyces marxianus, Torula kefir, while non-lactose-fermenting yeast was Saccharomyces cerevisae. However, as reported by Farnworth (2005) who study on microbial analysis of kefir originated from different locations showed different population of microorganisms.

This kefir can be produced from sheep or goat milk, although in European countries usually it is prepared from cow milk on a commercial scale. Although kefir could be prepared from either cow, goat, sheep as well as buffalo milk with its own characteristics and the chemical characteristics of such product is also affected by the methods used for preparation. (Wojtowski *et al.*, 2003 and Irigoyen *et al.*, 2005). The quality of kefir as reported by Cais-Sokolinska (2008) was depended on the quality and composition and also strain types of grains microorganisms as well as preconditions before inoculated. Irigoyen *et al.* (2005) in their study reported that kefir produced from cow, goat, sheep and buffalo milk had the following chemical characteristics such as pH about 4.0, alcohol from 0.55 to 2.0%, fat content depends on the type of milk used, and this fermented milk have an acid, prickly and slightly yeasty taste. They also found that acid and yeasty flavour together with prickly sensation were due to carbon dioxide as typical kefir flavour.

Several researches have reported that the chemical characteristics of goat milk are currently well recognized (Park *et al.*, 2007; Ismaiel *et al.*, 2011) and the typical characteristics and quality of kefir depend on the amount of kefir grains and incubation times (Motaghi *et al.*,1997; Otles and Cagindi, 2003, Chen *et al.*, 2009). However the chemical characteristics of Indonesian pasteurised goat milk and goat milk kefir prepared using Indonesian kefir grains are not yet studied.

Hence the purpose of this study was to investigate the chemical characteristics of either pasteurised goat milk which will be used as raw material for goat milk kefir samples and kefir manufactured from goat milk added with different amount of Indonesian kefir grains and incubation times at room temperature.

Materials and Methods

Fresh goat milk and kefir grains

Fresh goat milk were obtained from Etawah filial goat of about 2-3 years of age from Milk and Animal

Product Technology Training Centre, Songgoriti, Batu, East Java. Goat milk were pasteurised first at 85°C for 25 min and cooled down until it's temperature was 24°C before added with kefir grains as described by Motaghi *et al.* (1997). While local kefir grains were obtained from Animal Product Technology Laboratory, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Brawijaya University, Malang, East Java. These kefir grains were propagated by transferring them into UHT cow milk and allowing them to grow for approximately 20 h at 24°C; then kefir grains were separated from milk and stored at 4°C for 30 min before added in pasteurised goat milk.

Kefir samples preparation

Goat milk kefir were prepared using 500 ml pasteurised goat milk added with 3, 5 and 7%(w/v) kefir grains then incubated at 24°C for 18, 21 and 24 h, respectively. Control were samples with kefir grains before incubation were carried out.

Chemical analysis

pH values of either pasteurised goat milk and goat milk kefir were measured by following the method of Kebede *et al.* (2007), while titratable acidity and lactose content were determined using methods No. 947.05 (Anonymous, 2000) and 896.51 (Anonymous, 2000). Fat and protein content of pasteurised goat milk were measured using Babcock method AOAC No – 988-04 (Anonymous, 2000) and Kjeldhal method No 920.105 (Anonymous, 2000). Ethanol content of goat milk kefir samples were determined by following the method as described by Kartika *et al.* (1992).

Statistical analysis

The data of chemical characteristics of pasteurised goat milk obtained are the average of three independent (triplicate) experiments (batches) and triplicate of analysis and completed by standard deviation (+ s.d.). While the data of goat milk kefir samples obtained also from triplicate independent experiments were statistically tested using ANOVA analysis (Microsoft Excell, 2007), and if there were significant differences the analysis was continued using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) as desribed by Yitnosumarto (1993).

Results and Discussion

The chemical characteristics of goat milk obtained from Etawah filial of about 2-3 years old and then pasteurised were presented in Table 1. It is interesting to note that the fat content was 3.43% which is similar to the result reported by Jenness (1980) i.e in the range of 3.40 - 7.76%, although the protein content 4.72% was slightly higher than as reported by Jenness (1980) i.e. 3.40%. These differences could be possibly due to different species, lactation period and feeding management of goat or sheep. While lactose content (4.30%), pH (6.66) and acidity (0.19%) of pasteurised goat milk samples were similar as reported by Park *et al.* (2007) where their samples also had lactose content of 4.10%. Ju'arez and Ramos (1986) also found that average pH value and acidity number in their goat milk samples were in the range of 6.50 - 6.80 and 0.14 - 0.23%, respectively.

 Table 1. Chemical characteristics of pasteurized

goat milk						
pH	6.66 ± 0.085					
Acidity (% lactic acid)	0.19 ± 0.025					
Fat (%)	3.43 ± 0.152					
Protein (%)	4.72 ± 0.195					
Lactose (%)	4.30 ± 0.190					

*Means of three replications of experiments and three replications of analysis ± standard deviation.

Table 2 shows the values of the main chemical characteristics of goat milk kefir prepared by using different amount of kefir grains and incubation times. The difference of pH value, acidity number and lactose content of pasteurised goat milk (6.66; 0.19%; 4.30%) and innoculated pasteurised goat milk before incubation with different amount of kefir grains (6.03 – 6.17; 0.41 – 0.45%; 5.05 – 5.58%), were possibly due to lactic acid and lactose contents in kefir grains itself.

Table 2. Chemical characteristics of goat milk kefir

 produced by using different amount of Indonesian

 kefir grains and incubation time*

Kefir grains (% v/w)	Incubation t (hours)	ime	рH	A	cidity (%)	Lactosa (%)	Ethanol (%)
3**	control 18 21 24	6. 4. 4.	17±0. 92±0. 83±0. 77±0	01 ^k 01 ^h 02 ^f 02 ^e	0.41±0.02 ^a 0.51±0.01 ^c 0.61±0.01 ^f 0.70±0.01 ^g	5.58±0.003 ^j 5.16±0.002 ⁱ 5.06±0.002 ^h	0.69±0.003 ^a 0.86±0.002 ^g 0.84±0.002 ^f 0.80±0.002 ^d
5	control 18 21 24	4. 6. 4. 4.	10±0. 10±0. 86±0. 73±0. 63±0	02° 02 ^j 03 ^g 03 ^d 01°	0.70±0.01 ^s 0.44±0.02 ^b 0.54±0.01 ^d 0.63±0.01 ^f 0.71+0.029	4.90±0.003 ^o 5.17±0.002 ⁱ 5.05±0.002 ^g 4.90±0.003 ^d 4.78±0.000 ^c	0.80±0.002 ^s 0.72±0.001 ^b 0.91±0.002 ⁱ 0.87±0.002 ^h 0.82±0.002 ^e
7	control 18 21 24	4. 4. 4.	03±0. 76±0. 53±0. 36±0.	00 ⁱ .03 ^e 03 ^b 02 ^a	0.45±0.01 ^b 0.58±0.01 ^e 0.62±0.02 ^f 0.76±9,91 ^h	5.05±0.003 ^g 4.95±0.001 ^e 4.67±0.003 ^b 4.23±0.002 ^a	0.0210.002 0.74±0.003 ^c 0.97±0.002 ^l 0.94±0.003 ^k 0.91±0.003 ^j

^{*}Means of three replications \pm standard deviation **Means with different superscript in the same column showed significant differences ($p \le 0.01$). control: before incubation

The average pH value of goat milk kefir were in the range of 4.36 - 6.17, and pH value of 4.36

was found in goat milk kefir produced by addition of 7%(w/v) kefir grains. It seems that this pH value was typically the isoelectric point of protein of goat milk kefir, it was also noted by Lee and Lucey (2004) that at pH value \leq 4.6 curd formation or coagulation will occur as a result of interaction of denatured whey protein and casein micelles which followed by lactic acid production. Motaghi et al. (1997) reported that kefir manufactured by addition 5% Iranian kefir grains and incubation times of 12, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 hours had pH values in the range of 2.98 - 4.00. While Ismaiel et al. (2011) reported that in their study the final pH values were in the acidic side i.e. 2.91 - 4.04. Magalhaes et al. (2011) reported that before incubation the pH value of Brazilian kefir was 6.61±0.02 and after 24 hours of fermentation at 25°C was 4.42±0.01.

The highest acidity number of samples was found in goat milk kefir prepared using 7% kefir grains (0.76%) and according to Anonymous (2001) minimum acidity number expressed as lactic acid content was 0.60%. While Witthuhn et al. (2004) noted that the low acidity number in kefir was possibly due to the microorganisms of kefir grain was too long in the log phase condition. While Motaghi et al. (1997) found that acidity number of their samples were in the range of 1.18 - 2.45%. Bozamic and Tratnik (2001); Cais-Sokolinska et al. (2008) and Abdalla and Ahmed (2010) noted that the increase in the acidity of 'mish' (Sudanese fermented dairy product) might be due to increase of production of lactic acid by lactic acid bacteria. Magalhaes et al. (2011) in their study found that acidity of Brazilian kefir samples after 24 hours fermentation at 25°C were in the range of 2.10 - 2.73 mg/ml.

Lactose content of goat milk kefir decrease with higher amount of kefir grains added during preparation as well as longer incubation time is shown in Table 1. The decreasing amount of lactose were as the result of fermentation. Lactose play an important role as one of nutrient for microorganisms to grow, especially for lactose fermenting microorganisms. According to Ismaiel et al. (2011), lactose found as the most effective nutrient for growth of kefir grains microorganisms compared to other complex polysaccharides. Furthermore, it was found that increasing of lactose concentration will also increase the amount of kefir biomass, viscosity and kefiran. Gracia-Fontan et al. (2006) also noted that lactose content in their study were decreased from 4.92% to 4.02% during the first 24 hours of fermentation and the pH also decrease to 4.24. The differences between lactose content of goat milk kefir samples in this study and the one reported are possibly due to

goat milk quality used, kefir grains microorganisms composition, and temperature and also incubation time. According to Motaghi *et al.* (1997) the reduction of sugar during kefir fermentation was also possibly due to its incubation time.

In regards of ethanol content it was found that higher amount of kefir grains caused increasing its ethanol content. The addition of 7% (w/v) kefir grains and incubation time of 18 hours gave the highest amount of ethanol (0.97%). According to Alm (1982) that ethanol and CO, produced were as the result of symbiotic fermentation of yeast and lactic acid bacteria namely Lactobacilli and Streptococci species. Libudzisz and Piatkiewicz (1990) noted that kefir prepared using Polish kefir grains containing ethanol of 0.035 - 2.000%. Kuo and Lin (1999) also reported that solids, lactose and ethanol contents of three different batches of kefir prepared using 5% Taiwanese kefir grains and incubated at 21°C showed significant differences and these diferences were predicted due to variations of microorganisms in kefir grains. While Otles and Cagindi (2003) also reported that ethanol content of 0.90 g/100g sampel of their traditional kefir; and Magalhaes et al. (2011) reported that the final content of ethanol of Brazilian kefir after 24 h fermentation at 25°C reached about 0.5 mg/ml.

The differences of chemical characteristics of kefir produced at different location are possibly due to difference of goat milk quality which is depend on goat species, feeding management, lactation period, and processing methods include amount of kefir grains used, time and temperature of incubation as well as the of microorganisms species found in the kefir grains. However, Wszolek *et al.* (2001) noted that kefir characteristics were more affected by the milk quality rather than the starter or innoculum itself.

Conclusion

Pasteurised fresh goat milk used as raw material for kefirproduction had following chemical characteristic: pH 6.66, acidity 0.19%, fat 3.43%, protein 4.72% and lactose 4.30%. The best quality of goat milk kefir was produced by addition with 7% Indonesian kefir grains and incubated at room temperature for 24 h. It was characterized by pH 4.63; acidity 0.73%; lactose content 4.23% and ethanol content 0.92%.

References

Abdalla, M. O. M. and Ahmed, S. Z. A. N., 2010. Evaluation of microbiological quality of Sudanese fermented dairy product 'Mish' during storage. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology 2(3): 155-158.

- Alm, L. 1982. Effect of fermentation on lactose, glucose and galactose content in milk and suitability of fermented milk products for lactose intolerance individuals. Journal Dairy Science 65: 186 – 190.
- Angulo, L., Lopez, E., and Lema, E. 1993. Microflora present in kefir grains of the Galician region (northwest Spain). Journal Dairy Research 60: 263-267.
- Anonymous, 2001. FAO/WHO Codex standard for fermented milks. Available from: *http://www. codexallimentarius.nst/web/standard list.jsp.*
- Anonymous, 2000. Official Methods of Analysis,17th Ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists Inc. Washington.
- Bozamic, R. and Tratnik, L. 2001. Quality of Gariss and goat's fermented bifido milk during storage. Food Technology and Biotechnology 39(2): 109-114.
- Cais-Sokolinska, D., Danków, R. and Pikul, J. 2008. Physicochemical and sensory characteristics of sheep kefir during storage. Acta Science Polland Technology Alimenttarius 7(2): 63-73.
- Chen, T. H., Wang, S. Y., Chen, K. N., Liu, J. R. and Chen, M.J. 2009. Microbiological and chemical properties of kefir manufactured by entrapped microorganisms isolated from kefir grains. Journal Dairy Science 92: 3002 – 3013.
- Farnworth, E.R. 2005. Kefir a complex probiotic. Food Science and Technology Bulletin: Functional Food 2(1): 1 17.
- Gracia-Fontan, M. C., Martinez, S., Franco, I. and Carballo, J. 2006. Microbiological and chemical changes during the manufacture kefir made from cow's milk, using a commercial starter culture. International Dairy Journal 17: 762-767.
- Irigoyen, A., Arana, I., Casteilla, M., Torre, P. and Ibanez, F.C. 2005. Microbiological, physicochemical and sensory characteristics of kefir during storage. Food Chemistry 90: 613-620.
- Ismaeil, A.A., Ghaly, M.F. and El-Nagar, A.K. 2011. Some physicochemical analysis of kefir produced under different fermentation conditions. Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 70: 365 – 372.
- Jenness, R.1980. Composition and characteristics of goat milk: review 1968 – 1979. Journal Dairy Science 63: 1605 – 1630.
- Ju'arez, M. and Ramos, M. 1986. Physico-chemical characteristics of goat milk as distinct from those cow milk. International Dairy Federation Proceedings of the IDF Seminar on Production and Utilization of ewe's and goat's milk. Bulletin No 202: 54 – 67.
- Kartika, B.,Guritno, A.D., Purwadi, D. and Ismoyowati, D. 1992. Handbook of agricultural products evaluation, Food and Nutrition Inter University Centre, Gadjah Mada University,Yogyakarta.
- Kebede, A., Viljoen, B.C., Gadaga, H., Narvhus, J. A. and Hattingh, A.L. 2007. The effect of incubation temperature on the survival and growth of yeasts in sethemi, South African naturally fermented milk. Food Technology and Biotechnology 45(1): 21 – 26.

Koroleva, N. S. 1988. Starters for fermented milk. Section 4:

Kefir and kumys starters. Bulletin of the International Dairy Federation 227: 35 – 40.

- Koroleva, N. S. 1991. Products prepared with lactic acid bacteria and yeast. In: Therapeutic Properties of Fermented Milks. Ed. Robinson, R. K., Elsevier Applied Science, London, pp 159 – 179.
- Kuo, C. and Lin, C. 1999. Taiwanese kefir grains: their growth, microbial and chemical composition of fermented milk. Australian Journal of Dairy Science 54: 19–23.
- Lee, W. J. and Lucey, J. A. 2004. Structural and physical properties of yoghurt gel: Effect of innoculation rate and incubation temperature. Journal Dairy Science 87: 3153 3164.
- Libudzisz, Z. and Piatkiewicz, A. 1990. Kefir production in Poland. Dairy Industries International 55: 31-33.
- Magalhaes,K.T.,de Melo Pereira,G.V.,Campos,C.R.,D ragone,G. and Schwan, R.F. 2011. Brazilian kefir: Structure, microbial communities and chemical composition. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 42: 693-702.
- Motaghi, M., Mazaheri, M., Moazami, N., Farkhondeh, A., Fooladi, M.H., and Goltapeh, E.M. 1997. Short Communication: Kefir production in Iran. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 13: 579-581.
- Otles, S. and Cagindi, O., 2003. Kefir: A probiotic dairycomposition, nutritional and therapeutic aspects. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 2(2): 54-59.
- Park, Y. W., Ju'arez, M., Ramos, M. and Haenlein, G. F. W. 2007. Physico-chemical characteristics of goat and sheep milk. Small Ruminant Research 68: 88 -113.
- Simova, E., Beshkova, D., Angelov, A., Hristozova, T., Frengova, G. and Spasov Z. 2002. Lactic acid bacteria and yeast in kefir grains and kefir made from them. Journal Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology 28: 1-6.
- Witthuhn, R, C., Schoeman, T. and Britz, T. J. 2004. Isolation and characterisation of the microbial population of different South African kefir grains. International Journal of Dairy Technology 57: 33 – 37.
- Wójtowski, J., Danków R., Skrzypek R. and Fahr R.D. 2003. The fatty acid profile in kefirs from sheep, goat and cow milk. Milchwissenchaft 58: 633-636.
- Wszolek, M., Tamime, A.Y., Muir, D. D. and Barclay, M. N. I. 2001. Properties of kefir made in Scotland and Poland using bovine, caprine and ovine milk with different starter cultures. Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und-Technologie 34(4): 251-261.
- Yitnosumarto, S. 1993. Percobaan Perancangan, Analisa dan Interpretasinya (Experiment, Planning, Analysis and Its Interpretation). P.T. Gramedia Pustaka Utama, Jakarta.
- Yüksekdag, Z. N., Beyatli, Y. and Aslim, B. 2004. Determination of some characteristics coccoid forms of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Turkish kefirs with natural probiotic. Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und-Technologie 37:663-667.